TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION
KUNJABAN : AGARTALA
PIN – 799006

Complaint No. 91 of 2025
Complaint : Benu Paul Vs OC, NCC PS

ORDER SHEET

SL NO.	DATE	ORDER	NOTE
05.	03/11/2025	The present complaint was registered on the basis of a written complaint filed by one Shri Benu Paul, S/O Late Bhanwary Paul of Kathal Bagan opposite to B.ED College, Agartala under NCC PS having Mobile No. 7005853575 against some police officers of NCC PS. The fact constituting the complaint was that the complainant was a running business on rent in the house of Late Subhash Sinha, a retired DSP and the house is now owned by his wife Smt. Neela Sinha, Gurkhabasti, Kathal Bagan. The complainant entered into an agreement in the year 2021 and terms of that agreement expired on 31/07/2024. According to him, the present owner Neela Sinha without giving any intimation to him engaged the OC and the Second Officer of NCC PS for his eviction. Accordingly, OC and the Second Officer of NCC PS visited his shop-hut and	

		threatened her to close the shop. On 25/06/2025, in the afternoon, Second Officer of NCC PS came and abused his wife at his shop in dirty language and threatened her to vacate the shop within 24 hours otherwise they should be ready for dare consequences. The complainant claimed that he kept Rs. 50000/- as security amount to Neela Sinha. The money receipt of the security deposited was not given to him. The shop-hut is his only source of income. At present, he is staying in a rented house with his wife and daughter. The complainant prays for due action against those police officer since his human rights to live with dignity have been violated by them and also prays for allowing him some more times to search suitable places for his shop premises. 2. When the Commission was considering the incident, suddenly one telephone came in the mobile of the complainant bearing No. 7005853757 from a mobile No.7005052184 and according to complainant, the OC, NCC PS, had called him asking him to appear before the NCC PS immediately without loss of time and threatened him for violation of his direction. Considering the gravity of the circumstances, the Commission deemed it fit to take cognizance of the matter and the Commission sent copy of the complaint to DGP, Tripura with	

direction to submit a report after proper inquiry. Consequently, the DGP, Tripura submitted his report on 27/06/2025.

3. The report of the DGP, Tripura in short is that the matter was enquired by SDPO, NCC and another report was also furnished by SP, West. It revealed that on 10/06/2025, one Shri Sourav Sinha, S/O Late Subhash Sinha of Kathal Basti, Gurkhabasti under NCC PS submitted a written complaint alleging that Benu Paul (complainant) who was a tenant of their shop for 3(three) years on the basis of an agreement of tenancy which expired on 31/07/2024. Accordingly, his mother Neela Sinha asked Benu Paul to vacate the shop as per condition of agreement but Benu Paul misbehaved and showed aggressive behavior towards his old mother. The complainant Benu Paul also threatened his mother with dare consequences and abused his mother in filthy language. The complainant Sourav Sinha mentioned that his mother was feeling insecured due to the threat. The matter was entered in the GD vide NCPS GD Entry NO. 19 dated 10/06/2025 and it was endorsed the ASI Durjoy Debbarma of NCC PS for an enquiry.

4. During enquiry, said police officer physically visited the shop and examined witnesses and it

		revealed that on 04/10/2021, mother of the complaint that is Nilla Sinha rented out a shop to Benu Paul for 3(three) years on execution of a written agreement. The period of that agreement was over in July 2024. Despite expiry of the tenancy of agreement, Benu Paul did not vacate the shop. When Neela asked to Benu Paul to vacate the shop, Benu Paul verbally abused her using filthy languages and threatened her to dare consequences. Thereafter, complainant was suggested to approach the Civil Court for redressal as the matter was in civil nature. A prosecution report u/s 126 BNSS vide PR No.821 dated 11/06/2025 was also submitted against Benu Paul to prevent commission of any other serious offence in near future. 5. On 25/06/2025, Neela Sinha submitted another complaint at NCC PS alleging that on that day while she asked his tenant to pay monthly rent which he was not paying for last eight months, Benu Paul became violent and aggressive and used filthy languages and threatened her saying that he would kill her. She mentioned that her only son was out of the state for his study and she was alone in the house. She apprehended that Benu Paul might cause her serious harm any time. Accordingly, the complaint was also entered in NCC PS GDE No. 14 dated	

		25/06/2025 and in that matter women SI, Upasana Debbarma submitted another prosecution u/s 126 of BNSS vide PR No. 959 dated 26/06/2025. 6. Again on 27/06/2025, Sourav Sinha further submitted another complaint stating that on that day morning, he was going to Lake Chowmuhani market from his house and at that time Benu Paul wrongly restrained him at the corner of Heritage Park and abused filthy languages and also threatened to kill him. That was also entered in the GDE No. 11 of dated 27/06/2025 and accordingly, OC, NCC PS talked to Benu Paul over mobile phone and asked him whether he could come to NCC PS for an enquiry into the complaint filed by Sourav Sinha. It was denied by DGP, Tripura that the OC and Second Officer, NCC PS visited the shop and threatened Benu Paul to close down the shop and then on 25/06/2025 afternoon, Second Officer went to the shop and abused the wife of Benu Paul in dirty languages and threatened her to vacate the shop within 24 hours. 7. During enquiry, as per statement of Neela Sinha and her son it was revealed that Benu Paul deposited cash of Rs. 70,000/- as security money for two rental shop and the rent was fixed @ Rs. 5,300/- per month and total rent for eight months amounting	

		to Rs. 42,400/- remained pending. As per statements of Neela Sinha, an amount of Rs. 19801/- against electricity Bill against two shops was also pending. Earlier Benu Paul have taken Rs. 25,000/- from her on lone and total outstanding balance was Rs.87,201/- whereas security money deposited was only Rs.7,000/-. The DGP, Tripura denied that OC, NCC PS had asked Benu Paul to appear before him at NCC PS immediately without loss of time and threatened him for violation of the direction. Rather, it is stated that OC, NCC PS have called Benu Paul for over telephone and formally asked him whether he could come to NCC PS for enquiry in respect of the complaint submitted by Sourav Sinha and Benu Paul replied that he was at Indranagar and he would be at NCC PS after 30 minutes. To avoid any contradiction, the voice conversation was recorded and it was noted in the GDE No.15 date 27/06/2025 and that was also enclosed in the pen drive. It is stated that police of NCC PS acted only on the written complaint of Sourav Sinha and Neela Sinha. Moreover, after enquiry separate prosecution reports were submitted against Benu Paul. The Commission have sent the report of the DGP, Tripura to the complainant for his response, if any. The complainant also submitted one response but that	

response did not focus anything regarding the allegations made in the report of the DGP, Tripura. But he denied claim of police that he did not pay any rent rather he claimed that he had paid the monthly rent regularly and claimed that for that no receipt was issued by the land lady and also claimed that using police he was physically tortured.

8. He denied the claim of the AIGP (Crime) that he did not pay rent. She also reiterated the same allegation against the police officers and claimed that he deposited Rs.50,000/- as security amount and prays to take suitable action against police officers who are pressurizing him taking the side of wife of Subhash Sinha who was a retired police officer.

9. The Commission considered it necessary to examine the complainant so that views of the complainant can be perceived by the Commission and accordingly fixed the next date on 07/10/2025 for his examination. But on that date, complainant remained absent without any step. So, the second opportunity given to the complainant and fixing today for his appearance. But today also complainant remained absent without any step.

10. The frequent absence of the complainant to give his statement on oath is an indication that either the allegation made by the complainant was false or

he is not interested to proceed with a complaint for some reasons best known to him. It is clear that when the complaint was made and the Commission was considering the complaint of the complainant, some telephonic call came from police that was also admitted by police in their report. It also shows that the land owner was a retired police officer in the rank of DSP, good numbers of complainants were submitted by the wife and son of Late DSP on those complaints. Police submitted PR against the present complainant which show that police had shown their inclination taking side of the land owner. But in absence of any evidence of the compliant, the Commission is unable to find that any particular police officer has taken the side of the land owner or land lady to harass the complaint and violated his human rights to live with dignity.

11. Though there is a clear indication that police showed some extra interest in this matter but in absence of evidence of the complainant, this Commission is not in a position to opine that the human right of the complainant have been violated. Thus, the Commission considers that it will not be wise to proceed further and this case should be closed at this point. Accordingly, the present case is disposed of without further action or without any

further recommendation.

12. Inform accordingly to the complainant and also to the DGP, Tripura. Publish the order in the website.

(JUSTICE ARINDAM LODH)
CHAIRPERSON

(U. CHOUDHURI)
MEMBER