TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION
KUNJABAN : AGARTALA
PIN – 799006

ORDER SHEET
Complaint No.112 of 2025

SL NO.	DATE	ORDER	NOTE
1.	04/09/2025	Received a complaint from one Smt. Usha Rani Sarkar, W/o Shri Manindra Sarkar of Bhattapukur, Kalitilla Bazar, Baddharghat under Arundhati Nagar having Mobile No.7005480801 and 9862731336 . Gist of the complaint petition as it appears is that one Smt. Sandhya Rani Deb, W/o Rakhal Chandra Deb, her son Shri Amiya Deb and one Smt. Soma Deb, her daughter are the owners of house plot NO. 256, 257 and 328, under Khatian No. 495 of Mouja-Agartala Township measuring 0.0480 acre. The aforesaid owners entered agreement for sale with Shri Tinku Roy, son of the complainant in respect of the said 0.048 acres of land which is a 'Dokan Bhiti'. The agreement, ~~agreement~~ was entered into on 10/12/1918 and the consideration money was fixed at 9 lacs. It was mentioned that the consideration amount should be paid within 5(five) months. In ~~the~~ February, ~~year~~ 2019, as per desire of the aforesaid owner, her son paid Rs.1,20,000/- to one Rajib	

		Chakraborty and in that way, within 5(five) months as stipulated her son paid the entire consideration amount to the owners and thereafter, when her son asked the aforesaid owners to execute deed of transfer, then they refused. Complainant also approached SDM, Sadar, West Tripura and he also tried to mitigate the dispute but failed. Since then those aforesaid owners of that land used to visit the shop of his son and used to threat with dire consequences. Her son approached PS and police personnel also assured her son that no such incident would happen subsequently. But threatening of life to her sons continues and forcefully the shop owners put a key at the shop of her son. According to her, the particular shop is the only source of livelihood of the complainant and her family had no other source of income. Thus, she prayed before the Commission to take suitable action. On perusal of the complaint petition, it is not clear whether she had any grievance against any PS and if so against which PS or against which police officer. She also did not make any specific allegation against SDM, Sadar alleging inaction. Her all allegations are against the land owners. Though it is no where found that her son was put in possession of the shop hut situated on the land of 0. 0480 acres of land but it appears that	

		perhaps her son was put in possession of shop hut situated of that particular land and the aforesaid owners allegedly put lock in respect of that shop. This is a totally a civil dispute for which remedy lies before a court of law. There is no allegation in the complaint for violation of human rights at the instance of any Government agencies such as SDM or any police officer. So, the present complaint cannot be entertained by this Commission. So, no action can be taken from this end. Complainant is at liberty to take legal shelter before a court of law and this complaint is thus disposed of. Inform accordingly to the complainant. On leave **(JUSTICE ARINDAM LODH)** **CHAIRPERSON** **(U. CHOUDHURI)** **MEMBER**	