

**TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION**

**KUNJABAN: AGARTALA**

Complaint No.86 of 2025

(Complainant: Dalim Das)

**ORDER SHEET**

| SL. No. | Date       | Order  | Note |
|---------|------------|--|------|
| 03      | 11/08/2025 | <p>Today is fixed for response of the complainant in respect of the report of the Tripura University.</p> <p>Received a response from the complainant pursuant to the previous order, sending her response and fresh representation regarding on-going harassment and denial of disability rights.</p> <p>In that latter, the complainant expressed deep dissatisfaction as the matter failed to reflect the full scope of issues and negotiated terms before Enquiry Committee.</p> <p>The terms agreed were that a written apology from Mr. Abhijit Chowdhury, assurance that such harassment would not recur and correction of reporting date in his release order showing 14<sup>th</sup> May instead of 2<sup>nd</sup> June.</p> <p>The complainant alleges that report of the Enquiry Committee report acknowledged only first two points, the third point, i.e correction of his reporting date has been entirely omitted and that was misleading. He categorically stated that upon receiving a reviewing the said apology letter, he found that the latter of Mr.Chowdhuri dated 18<sup>th</sup> June instead of offering apology denied his complaint by saying “<b>your complaint is not true</b>”. Not only that the latter is a repository of factual inaccuracies. It falsely claims</p> |      |

that the complaint had asked for a releasing, when in fact he never made any such request at any stage. On the contrary, it was Mr. Chowdhury himself who instructed him to right to the director of Higher Education regarding the release. The date of his reporting was also misrepresented. The reference to the "internal exam mechanism for central evaluation" appeared to be entirely fabricated as he was never informed of any such process, and to his knowledge, it was not a standard or officially communicated academic procedure.

Some more facts have been mentioned. On perusal of the response, it is clear that alleged claim of the University that apology letter was given by said Abhijit Chowdhuri to the complainant Dalim Das is not factually correct.

This being the position, the Commission considers that the Commission should examine the complainant, the accused officer Assistant Registered Dr. Abhijit Chowdhuri and Vice-Chancellor, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar.

Issue summons to those witnesses to appear before this Commission on the next date. The witnesses may also be requested to appear before this Commission with full preparation and to exhibit their documents in support of their contentions.

Accordingly, let the case be fixed on two calendar dates after three weeks.

✓ List it on 18/09/25 for appearance of the complainant Dalim Das.

And on 24/09/25 for appearance of the  
accused officer Assistant Registered Dr. Abhijit  
Chowdhuri and Vice-Chancellor, Tripura University,  
Suryamaninagar.



(Justice Arindam Lodh)  
Chairperson



(U. Choudhuri)  
Member