TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION KUNJABAN: AGARTALA

Complaint No. 22 of 2025

(Complaint by Sri Tanmoy Sen).

ORDER SHEET

SL. No.	Date	Order	Note
01	24/02/2025	Received a complaint via e-mail	
		from one Tanmoy Sen, holder of PAN Card	
		number KTYPS4064M. In the complaint the	
		facts narrated shows that he was a Director of	
	e	PKRTSAC Pvt. Ltd. Company. Another	
		Director of that Company is one Somnath	
		Majumder, holder of Current Account No.	
		20524725229 in SBI, Dhaleshwar Branch.	
		Director Somnath Majumder agreed to pay	
		him a sum of Rs. 68,00,000/- and issued a	
		cheque. After that, Tanmoy deposited the	
		cheque in his savings account. The next day	
		Somnath filed a complaint against Tanmoy at	
	с. 	Bodhjungnagar Police Station & East Agartala	
		Police Station. Kotak Mahindra Bank (Tanmoy	
		Sen's savings a/c) returned Rs. 15, 00, 000/-	
		to PKRTSAC Pvt. Ltd. in the current account	ж. ¹
		and rest 53,00,000/- was returned by him	
	×	from SBI a/c to the current account of	
	A.	PKRTSAC Pvt. Ltd.	
	()x		

The complainant claimed that Somnath Majumder already withdrew the FIR and he did not complain against him. He further mentioned that he has already resigned from the Company without any benefits. He paid all the money. But his savings accounts in Kotak Mahindra Bank & UCO Bank are still lying frozen. It appears that his accounts in those two banks were freezed by the respective banks and as such, he could not run his family properly. His wife is pregnant and therefore, she needs medical attention. Now he is put in bad position for which he is facing acute financial problem.

On perusal of the complaint, firstly, it appears that he did not disclose as to why FIR was lodged against him. If it was a case of forgery and FIR was drawn up, then withdrawal of the FIR also requires sanction of the Court because under criminal law, all offences are offences against the state. So, without having clear picture, the Commission cannot entertain the complaint. Moreover, jurisdiction of this Commission only attracts if human rights of an individual are violated either by the state or by any State Agencies including Government Officers. The complainant's petition does not disclose that his human rights were violated by the state or



officers. The allegation of the state complainant appears to be against two private banks namely Kotak Mahindra Bank & UCO Bank. Bank use to provide service to its customers. If the complainant feels that he did not get adequate service from the banks, he can approach to the Consumers' Forum. This Commission has nothing to do in this type of complaint, since there is no allegation violation of human rights of the of complainant by any public servant or state agencies. Therefore, the Commission cannot take any action on this kind of vague allegations.

Hence, no inquiry can be initiated on this complaint and the complaint petition, therefore, stands disposed of. Inform the complainant accordingly.

aburi) (U.Choudhuri

Member