

FORM II

TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION
KUNJABAN, AGARTALA

ORDER SHEET

Complaint No. 18 of 2025

(Complainant: Smt. Lipika Deb)

S.L	DATE	ORDER	NOTE
01	10.02.2025	<p>Received a written complaint through email from one Smt. Lipika Deb, D/O Lt. Satya Brata Deb of Dharmangarar, North Tripura having mobile No. 7664074680 where she sought for justice against legal corruption. The gist of the complaint shows that the complainant's marriage was solemnized on 29.01.2015 with one Sri Rajesh Dey of Silchar (Assam). Thereafter, she lived at her matrimonial house Silchar and after few days she was subjected to torture at her matrimonial house demanding Rs. 2.00.000.00/- to be brought from her parental house. On her refusal to fulfill that unlawful demand, torture on her body became grave and at last when torture became unbearable she took shelter at her brother's house at Tarapur, Silchar, in the state of Assam. After few days she again went to her matrimonial house to restore her matrimonial life but again she was tortured on the aforesaid unlawful demand. She along with her relatives made complaints before Women Commission, Silchar and informed the matter but</p>	

no action was taken on the basis of her complaint. Ultimately she returned to her father's house, at Dharmanagar in the state of Tripura. At Dharmanagar she informed the matter to Padmapur Legal Care Centre on 19.11.2017. The Clinic -in-charge sent a notice to her in-laws house for resolving the dispute but her in-laws did not respond. Then she filed two cases before Ld. Court of C.J,M, Dharmangar one under section 498 (A) of IPC on 02.02.2018 and other case was filed under section 125 (Cr.PC) for maintenance on 19.03.2018. Complainant mentions that Judgment was passed in respect of the first mentioned case on 06.02.2020 and in maintenance case on 13.03.2020. She did not mention about the judgments. No copies of the judgments were attached. According to her she was dissatisfied with the judgments and preferred appeal against both judgments.

According to her she is facing problem due to Advocate Manik Lal Nath, the Advocate of the opposite party and said Advocate is a corrupted person. She also made it clear that she changed seven Advocates for her cases but none of them honestly helped her under pressure of Advocate Manik Lal Nath. She also informed that matter to District Judge of Dharmanagar, Legal aid Chairman, High Court, National Women Commission etc. But she did not get any result.

She prayed for legal justice.

The careful perusal of the complaint shows that her human right has not been violated by the State Government or any Public Officers. She did not lodge any complaints specifically against the particular court. It appears that she took shelter claiming redress from judiciary. According to her she did not get any justice from judiciary. If any party to a case is dissatisfied against a judgment or order of a Court, he/she is at liberty to go to the Superior Judicial Forum either preferring appeal or revision.

The Commission's jurisdiction does not attract against the judgment or order of a Court of law. She lodged complaint against one Advocate who is a practicing Advocate. This Commission has got no jurisdiction against any private individual.

Since there is no allegation of violation of her human rights by the State Government or by any State Agency or Public Officers, this Commission cannot take cognizance on this matter. Accordingly no cognizance can be taken on the complaint of the complainant. Hence, no inquiry can be taken up on the basis of that complaint. The complaint is thus disposed of.

Also inform the complainant through email.


(U. CHOUDHURI)
MEMBER