

TRIPURA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION
KUNJABAN : AGARTALA

Complaint No. 57 of 2022

ORDER SHEET

Sl No	Date	Order	Note
04	20.03.2023	The petitioner is present. Heard him on his petition. The petitioner states before the Commission that his main grievance is for not giving Provisional Pension in time i.e. after filing a petition for Provisional Pension on 12.09.2022. He also admits that Provisional Pension of Rs. 2,64,796/- (Rupees Two lakhs Sixty Four thousand Seven hundred Ninety Six) was later given to him by the Department on 21.01.2023. He further states that he filed the Pension proposal on 30.07.2022 i.e. on the date of his retirement, but the same was not processed in time. Later it was processed from the office of the Tripura State Electrical Corporation Limited (TSECL), Agartala on 06.01.2023. According to him, there was an inordinate delay for sending the proposal of final pension w.e.f. 30.07.2022 to 06.01.2023.	

At the same time, he also admits that he received the Gratuity of Rs. 7,50,000/- (Rupees Seven lakhs Fifty thousand) on 29.08.2022, Leave salary of Rs. 11,10,240/- (Rupees Eleven lakhs Ten thousand Two hundred Forty) on 08.09.2022, GPF final withdrawal of Rs. 1,05,607/- (Rupees One lakh Five thousand Six hundred Seven) on 03.11.2022 and Group Insurance of Rs. 1,06,279/- (Rupees One lakh Six hundred Two hundred Seventy Nine) on 20.12.2022.

In respect of his two grievances stated above, we are to take the opinion of the Respondent i.e. the General Manager (Tech) of TSECL, Agartala.

It also appears from the report submitted by the Respondent that the petitioner was an employee of the Department of Power, Government of Tripura and since 01.01.2005 Shri Bhattacharjee was deputed to TSECL and performed his duties till the date of his retirement on deputation. So the pensionary benefit and other


Contd...P/3...

Page - 3


benefits had to be released / extended in favour of Mr. Bhattacharjee at the time of his retirement by the Department of Power, Government of Tripura.

We find that the Power Department is a necessary party in this case. Accordingly, the petitioner is asked to take appropriate step in respect of Power Department so that the opinion of the Power Department can be obtained.

Fix it on 13.04.2023 for step by the petitioner.


(S. C. Saha)
Member


(Justice S. C. Das)
Chairperson


(B. K. Ray)
Member